# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

MANNY FILM LLC,	)
Plaintiff,	) Civil Case No
V.	)
JOHN DOE, subscriber assigned IP address 70.127.97.79,	) )
Defendant.	) ) )

# COMPLAINT-ACTION FOR DAMAGES FOR PROPERTY RIGHTS INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff, Manny Film LLC ("Plaintiff"), sues Defendant, John Doe subscriber assigned IP address 70.127.97.79 ("Defendant"), and alleges:

#### Introduction

- 1. This matter arises under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, as amended, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq. (the "Copyright Act").
- 2. Defendant is an online copyright infringer and BitTorrent user. Indeed, Defendant's IP address as set forth on Exhibit "A" was used without authorization to illegally distribute the copyrighted work owned by Plaintiff listed on Exhibit "B."
- 3. Plaintiff is the registered owner of the copyrighted audiovisual work set forth on Exhibit B (the "Copyright-in-Suit").

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); and 28 U.S.C. § 1338 (patents, copyrights, trademarks and unfair competition).

- 5. Plaintiff used proven IP address geolocation technology which has consistently worked in similar cases to ensure that the Defendant's acts of copyright infringement occurred using an Internet Protocol address ("IP address") traced to a physical address located within this District and, therefore, this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because: (i) Defendant committed the tortious conduct alleged in this Complaint in this State, and (ii) Defendant resides in this State and/or (iii) Defendant has engaged in substantial and not isolated business activity in this State.
  - 6. The geolocation technology used by Plaintiff has proven to be accurate.
- 7. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), because: (i) a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District; and, (ii) the Defendant resides (and therefore can be found) in this District and resides in this State; additionally, venue is proper in this District pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a) (venue for copyright cases) because Defendant or Defendant's agent resides or may be found in this District.

#### **Parties**

- 8. Plaintiff is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and has its principal place of business located at 7083 Hollywood Boulevard Suite 180, Los Angeles, CA 90028.
- 9. Plaintiff only knows Defendant by his, her or its IP address. Defendant's IP address is set forth in the style of the case.
  - 10. Defendant's Internet Service Provider can identify the Defendant.

#### **Factual Background**

- I. Manny Film LLC Holds the Copyright to a Widely Infringed Popular Film
- 11. Plaintiff owns the copyright to the film "Manny" (the "Film"), a feature length documentary depicting the life of boxing champion Manny Pacquiao.
- 12. Manny Pacquiao is one of the best pound for pound fighters in the world. He is the first and only eight-division world champion and was named "Fighter of the Decade" for the 2000s by the Boxing Writers Association of America. A native of the Philippines, he is a local hero and a member of the Philippine House of Representatives.
  - 13. The Film took approximately four years and cost millions of dollars to produce.
- 14. Production involved filming at multiple locations, including Dallas, Las Vegas, New York, Los Angeles, and the Philippines, and required hundreds of hours of research, travel and communication to prepare for the over 75 people who were interviewed for the Film.
- 15. The Film is narrated by Liam Neeson and features well-known personalities, such as Jimmy Kimmel and Mark Wahlberg.
- 16. In November of 2014, The Film was leaked on to the BitTorrent peer-to-peer file sharing network in advance of its U.S. theatrical release date of January 23, 2015.
- 17. The Film is being widely infringed on BitTorrent, which has cost Plaintiff a substantial amount in lost revenue.
  - II. <u>Defendant Used the BitTorrent File Distribution Network to Infringe Plaintiff's Copyrights</u>
- 18. The BitTorrent file distribution network ("BitTorrent") is one of the most common peer-to-peer file sharing systems used for distributing large amounts of data, including, but not limited to, written publications, audiovisual works and other digital media files.
  - 19. BitTorrent's popularity stems from the ability of users to directly interact with

each other in order to distribute a large file without creating a heavy load on any individual source computer and/or network. The methodology of BitTorrent allows users to interact directly with each other, thus avoiding the need for intermediary host websites which are subject to DMCA take-down notices and potential regulatory enforcement actions.

- 20. In order to distribute a large file, the BitTorrent protocol breaks a file into many small pieces. Users then exchange these pieces among each other, instead of attempting to distribute a much larger digital file.
- 21. After the infringer receives all of the pieces of a digital media file, the infringer's BitTorrent client software reassembles the pieces so that the file may be opened and utilized.
  - 22. Each piece of a BitTorrent file is assigned a unique cryptographic hash value.
- 23. The cryptographic hash value of the piece ("piece hash") acts as that piece's unique digital fingerprint. Every digital file has one single possible cryptographic hash value correlating to it. The BitTorrent protocol utilizes cryptographic hash values to ensure each piece is properly routed among BitTorrent users as they engage in file sharing.
- 24. The entirety of the digital media file also has a unique cryptographic hash value ("file hash"), which acts as a digital fingerprint identifying the digital media file (e.g., a video). Once infringers complete downloading all pieces which comprise a digital media file, the BitTorrent software uses the file hash to determine that the file is complete and accurate.
- 25. Plaintiff's infringement detection company, Excipio GmbH ("Excipio") established a direct TCP/IP connection with Defendant.
- 26. Excipio downloaded part of a digital media file (the "Infringing File") from Defendant. The Infringing File is a copy of Plaintiff's Film.
  - 27. Plaintiff is the author of the Film in the Infringing File which is registered with

- the U.S. Copyright Office. See Exhibit B for the Film's copyright registration information.
- 28. Plaintiff did not authorize its copyrighted work to be distributed via the BitTorrent protocol. Instead, the initial seeder illegally uploaded the work to BitTorrent and distributed it to numerous other individuals.
- 29. Defendant downloaded all of the pieces of the Infringing File. Thereafter, Defendant's BitTorrent client assembled them into a viewable movie file.
- 30. Excipio also downloaded a full copy of the Infringing File and reviewed it. Excipio further reviewed the original Film as provided by Plaintiff and confirmed that the Infringing File is identical, or substantially similar, to the corresponding original work.
- 31. At no time did Excipio upload Plaintiff's copyrighted content to any other BitTorrent user.
- 32. Although there are multiple infringing transactions from Defendant's IP address, the most recent infringing transaction recorded by Excipio (as of the date of this filing) is set forth on Exhibit A.
- 33. Exhibit B lists the registration number, registration date, and date of first publication for the Film.
- 34. Each infringing transaction between Defendant's IP address and Excipio is recorded in a PCAP. A PCAP is akin to a video recording. Here, the recording is of a transaction between the infringer's computer and Excipio's computer. Through each transaction, Defendant distributed a piece of the Infringing File. The PCAP shows Defendant's IP address, and the piece that was distributed. Excipio verified that the piece that was distributed belongs to the Infringing File by calculating its hash value.

#### Miscellaneous

- 35. All conditions precedent to bringing this action have occurred or been waived.
- 36. Plaintiff has retained counsel and is obligated to pay said counsel a reasonable fee for its services.

# COUNT I Direct Infringement Against Defendant

- 37. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-36 are hereby re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 38. Plaintiff is the owner of the copyright which covers an original work of authorship.
- 39. By using BitTorrent, Defendant copied and distributed the constituent elements of the copyrighted work.
- 40. Plaintiff did not authorize, permit or consent to Defendant's distribution of its work.
  - 41. As a result of the foregoing, Defendant violated Plaintiff's exclusive right to:
  - (A) Reproduce the work in copies, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1) and 501:
- (B) Redistribute copies of the work to the public by sale or other transfer of ownership, or by rental, lease or lending, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(3) and 501;
- (C) Perform the copyrighted work, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(4) and 501, by showing the work's images in any sequence and/or by making the sounds accompanying the work audible and transmitting said performance of the work, by means of a device or process, to members of the public capable of receiving the display (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's definitions of "perform" and "publically" perform); and
  - (D) Display the copyrighted work, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(5) and 501, by

showing individual images of the work nonsequentially and transmitting said display of the work by means of a device or process to members of the public capable of receiving the display (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's definition of "publically" display).

42. Defendant's infringements were committed "willfully" within the meaning of 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

- (A) Permanently enjoin Defendant and all other persons who are in active concert or participation with Defendant from continuing to infringe Plaintiff's copyrighted work;
- (B) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the digital media files relating to Plaintiff's work from each of the computers under Defendant's possession, custody or control;
- (C) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the infringing copies of the work Defendant has on computers under Defendant's possession, custody or control;
- (D) Award Plaintiff statutory damages per infringed work pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504-(a) and (c);
- (E) Award Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505; and
  - (F) Grant Plaintiff any other and further relief this Court deems just and proper.

#### **DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

By:

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID F. TAMAROFF

Fla. Bar No. 92084 david@tamarofflaw.com

DANIEL F. TAMAROFF

Fla. Bar No. 92083 dan@tamarofflaw.com

TAMAROFF & TAMAROFF, P.A.

169 East Flagler Street, Suite 1633

Miami, Florida 33131

Tel: (305) 350-7440
Fax: (305) 350-7441
admin@tamarofflaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

## Case 8:15-cv-00495-CEH-EAJ Document 1 Filed 03/06/15 Page 9 of 11 PageID 9

#### File Hashes for IP Address 70.127.97.79

**ISP:** Time Warner Cable/Road Runner **Physical Location:** Brooksville, FL

Hit Date UTC	File Hash	Title
12/16/2014 02:09:21	ED2ED6937D5B0AA2E3B29B55F0156C68074F8139	Manny

**Total Statutory Claims Against Defendant: 1** 

### Copyrights-In-Suit for IP Address 70.127.97.79

ISP: Time Warner Cable/Road Runner

Location: Brooksville, FL

Title	Registration Number	Date of First Publication	Registration Date	Most Recent Hit UTC
Manny	PAu003744511	11/17/2014	09/02/2014	12/16/2014

**Total Manny Film LLC Copyrights Infringed: 1** 



# **Public Catalog**

Copyright Catalog (1978 to present)

Search Request: Left Anchored Copyright Number = PAu003744511

Search Results: Displaying 1 of 1 entries



Labeled View

#### Manny.

Type of Work: Motion Picture

Registration Number / Date: PAu003744511 / 2014-09-02

Application Title: Manny.

Title: Manny.

Description: Videodisc (DVD)

Copyright Claimant: Manny Film LLC. Address: 1175 East Lincoln Avenue, Anaheim, CA, 92805, United States.

Date of Creation: 2014

Authorship on Application: Manny Film LLC, employer for hire; Citizenship: United States. Authorship: entire motion picture.

Copyright Note: Regarding material excluded: credits on copy indicate some preexisting footage and photographs from

various sources.

Names: Manny Film LLC





Help Search History Titles Start Over

Contact Us | Request Copies | Get a Search Estimate | Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) about Copyright | Copyright Office Home Page | Library of Congress Home Page JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	OCKET SHEEL. (SEE HVS/ROC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	r marc	DEFENDANTS	<u> </u>		·
Manny Film LLC				JOHN DOE subs		gned IP addres	s 70.127.97.79
<ul> <li>(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Los Angeles County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)</li> <li>(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)         Tamaroff &amp; Tamaroff, P.A.         T: 305.350.7440     </li> </ul>		·	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Hernando County  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMINATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)			•	
169 East Flagler St Miami, FL 33131							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES,	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif, and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government Plaintiff	<b>℧</b> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		P.	TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	PTF DEF incipal Place 0 4 0 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗓 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A	
www.numunaannaannaannaannaannaannaannaannaan				en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation	☐ 6 ☐ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			dominal side <b>par</b>	NINESPERENTE PROTECTOR A SECTION (A SECTION A	l minute man	uzninasa.	A ATHEN CTATHETO AND A
CONTRACT  110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 530 General 530 General 530 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 550 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	Y	DRFEITURE/PENALTY  5 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881  0 Other  LABOR  Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application S Other Immigration Actions	☐ 422 Appc ☐ 423 With ☐ 28 U ☐ PROPE ☐ 820 Copy ☐ 830 Pater ☐ 840 Trade ☐ 861 HIA ☐ 862 Blacd ☐ 863 DIW ☐ 864 SSID ☐ 865 RSI ( ☐ 870 Taxe ☐ 871 IRS— ☐ 871 IRS— ☐ 26 U	RTY RIGHTS rights u emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DiWW (405(g))	OTHER STATUTES  □ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 17 U.S.C. § 101  Brief description of ca Copyright Infringe  CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	Appellate Court  tute under which you at  use: ement IS A CLASS ACTION	re filing (1		er District		ict  if demanded in complaint:  X Yes
VIII. RELATED CASI	S(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF A	TORNEY	DF RECORD			
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	<i>- - - - - - - - - -</i>	MAG IDE	OGF